

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,281	02/17/2004	Mark W. McGlothlin	012124-001120US	2034
20350	7590 09/21/2004		EXAM	INER
	O AND TOWNSEND AT CADERO CENTER	ORTIZ, ANGELA Y		
EIGHTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834		1732	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\Box
	10/781,281	MCGLOTHLIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angela Ortiz	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	. 136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mate, cause the application to become ng date of this communication, ever	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 F</u>			
<i>;</i>	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) <u>55-67</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraged. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>55-67</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/second for the application. 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau the certified copies of the priority document application from the certified copies of the priority doc	nts have been received. Its have been received in Ority documents have been It (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/781,281

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 55-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson et al., USP 5,817,365.

The cited reference substantially teaches the basic claimed product, including a dip-molded article of rubber, formed from a rubber-forming substance and a vulcanizing agent. A former is provided and is immersed and withdrawn to form the article as claimed. The material is allowed to cure to form the article. See col. 3, lines 40-65; col. 4, lines 17-32; col. 7, lines 15-50.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,281

Art Unit: 1732

The cited primary reference does not teach the method limitations set forth in the claims.

Note that product by process claims are considered product claims, and the process limitations are generally not accorded any patentable weight. Product by process claims must contain structural limitations that are able to stand alone.

Further note that there is no structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-1206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,281

Art Unit: 1732

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela Ortiz Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732